RESOLUTION NO. 88-1

RESOLUTION OF THE MENDOCINO COUNTY LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION ADOPTING A NEGATIVE DECLARATION AND APPROVING KING ANNEXATION

		TO	THE	Millview		District	•
LAFCO	FILE		87-3				

WHEREAS, a proposal for the proposed annexation of certain territory to the Millview County Water District has been filed with the Executive Officer of this Local Agency Formation Commission pursuant to Title 5, Division 3, commencing with Section 56000 of the Government Code; and,

WHEREAS, the Executive Officer has reviewed the proposal and prepared a report, including his recommendation thereon, the proposal and report having been presented to, and considered by this Commission; and,

WHEREAS, it has been determined to the satisfaction of this Commission that all owners of land included in the proposal consent to this annexation; and,

WHEREAS, this Commission called for and held a public hearing on the proposal on January 4, 1988 and at the hearing this Commission heard and received all oral and written protests, all persons present were given an opportunity to hear and be heard with respect to this proposal and the report of the Executive Officer.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Mendocino Local Agency Formation Commission DOES HEREBY RESOLVE, DETERMINE AND ORDER AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. That a Negative Declaration has been adopted.
- 2. The proposal is hereby $\frac{\text{approved}}{\text{denied}}$ subject to the conditions and terms being identified in Exhibit A.

- 3. The boundaries, as set forth in the proposal, are hereby approved and will be incorporated as Exhibit "B".
- 4. The territory includes 73 acres, is found to be uninhabited inhabited, and is assigned the distinctive short form designation: King Annexation to Millview County Water District.
- 5. The Millview County Water District is designated as the conducting authority and is hereby authorized to conduct subsequent proceedings in compliance with this resolution.
- 6. The Executive Officer is hereby authorized and directed to mail certified copies of this resolution as provided in Section 56853 of the Government Code.

ADOPTION of this Resolution was moved by Commissioner deVall , seconded by Commissioner Redding , and approved on this 4th day of January , 1988, by the following roll call vote:

AYES: Commissioners deVall, Giese, Redding & Simpson

NOES: None

ABSENT Commissioner Meskis

ABSTAIN: None

WHEREUPON, the Chairman declared the Resolution adopted, AND SO ORDERED.

Chairman

ATTEST: ALBERT P. BELTRAMI, Executive Officer STATE OF CALIFORNIA, County of Mendocino

By DAN TARVIN , Assistant Executive Officer, Local Agency Formation Commission in and for the County of Mendocino, State of California, do hereby certify the foregoing to be a full, true and correct copy of an order made by the Local Agency Formation Commission as the same appears spread upon their minute book.

Assistant Executive Officer

EXHIBIT A

- 1. The Millview County Water District is designated as the conducting authority and authorized to proceed with the annexation without a public hearing pursuant to the Government Code.
- 2. The proponent is required to revise the map and the legal description in conformance with the conditions of the County Surveyor prior to any action of the conducting authority.

MENDOCINO COUNTY

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION

Mendocino County Courthouse

Room 110

Ukiah, California

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

For Review By Interested Agencies and the Public in Accordance with the Mendocino County Environmental Review Guidelines and the California Environmental Quality Act, an analysis has been made of possible environmental impacts of the following project by the Local Agency Formation Staff:

APPLICANT:

87 - 3FILE

MARSHA A YOUNG, County Clerk

Gerald W. King, M.D.

PROJECT TITLE & DESCRIPTION:

King Annexation to the Millview County Water District

PROJECT LOCATION:

One quarter of a mile east of Redemeyer Rd. on the south shore of Lake Mendocino

FINDINGS WHICH SUPPORT A NEGATIVE DECLARATION: After conducting an Initial Study, the Lead Agency has determined that the project will not have a significant, substantial adverse effect on the environment for the following reasons:

- The project will not have impacts which have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment or curtail the range of the environment.
- The project will not have impacts which achieve short-term, to the 2. disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals. A short-term impact on the environment is one which occurs in a relatively brief, definite period of time while long-term impacts will endure well into the future.
- The project will not have impacts which are individually limited, but 3. cumulatively considerable. A project may affect two or more separate resources where the impact of each resource is relatively small. If the effect of the total of those impacts on the environment is significant, and EIR must be prepared. This mandatory finding of significance does not apply to two or more separate projects where the impact of each is insignificant.
- The environmental effects of a project will not cause substantial adverse 4. effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly.

Attached hereto is a copy of the Initial Study documenting reasons to support the above findings. Also attached are any mitigation measures proposed to avoid potentially significant effects.

December 16, 1987

COSINO COUN PATE

Reviewed and Considered by

By any restord of

DATE

DA COMM S TH

the Lead Agency

OY TORMAT

I-86-3

California

DECLARATION

es and the Pub : as and the Public in Accordance with the Guidelines are the Callingia Free characters.