

RESOLUTION NO. 88-3

RESOLUTION OF THE MENDOCINO COUNTY LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION  
COMMISSION MAKING DETERMINATIONS AND APPROVING THE

Gibson/Fetzer Annexation to the

TO THE:

~~TO THE CITY OF~~-----/DISTRICT Hopland Public Utility District

WHEREAS, a proposal for the proposed annexation of certain territory to the City of-----/Hopland Public Utility District District has been filed with the Executive Officer of this Local Agency Formation Commission pursuant to Title 5, Division 3, commencing with Section 56000 of the Government Code; and,

WHEREAS, the Executive Officer has reviewed the proposal and prepared a report, including his recommendation thereon, the proposal and report having been presented to, and considered by this Commission; and,

WHEREAS, it has been determined to the satisfaction of this Commission that all owners of land included in the proposal consent to this annexation; and,

WHEREAS, this Commission called for and held a public hearing on the proposal on June 29, 88 and at the hearing this Commission heard and received all oral and written protests, objections and evidence which were made, presented or filed, and all persons present were given an opportunity to hear and be heard with respect to this proposal and the report of the Executive Officer.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Mendocino County Local Agency Formation Commission DOES HEREBY RESOLVE, DETERMINE AND ORDER AS FOLLOWS:

1. The proposal, being identified in the files of the Mendocino County Local Agency Formation Commission as File No. 88-3 be and the same is hereby approved/denied subject to the following conditions and terms:

That the legal description be corrected to the satisfaction of the County Surveyor prior to the Hopland Public Utility istrict annexing the territory.

2. The territory includes 99 acres, is found to be uninhabited/inhabited, and is assigned the distinctive short form designation: Gibson/Fetzer Annexation to Hopland Public Utility District

3. ~~The City of~~ The / Hopland Public Utility District Board of Directors is designated as the conducting authority and is hereby authorized to conduct subsequent proceedings in compliance with this resolution.

4. The Executive Officer is hereby authorized and directed to mail certified copies of this resolution as provided in Section 56853 of the Government Code.

ADOPTION of this Resolution was moved by Commissioner Redding, seconded by Commissioner Giese, and approved on this 29th day of June, 1988, by the following roll call vote:

AYES: Commissioners deVall, Giese, Redding & Simpson  
NOES: None  
ABSENT:  
ABSTAIN:

WHEREUPON, the Chairman declared the Resolution adopted, AND SO ORDERED.

Jack Simpson  
Chairman

ATTEST: ALBERT P. BELTRAMI, Executive Officer  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA, County of Mendocino

By Dan Garvin, Assistant Executive Officer, Local Agency Formation Commission in and for the County of Mendocino, State of California, do hereby certify the foregoing to be a full, true and correct copy of an order made by the Local Agency Formation Commission as the same appears spread upon their minute book.

Dan Garvin  
Assistant Executive Officer

MENDOCINO COUNTY

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION

Mendocino County Courthouse

Room 110

Ukiah, California

RECEIVED  
JUL 11 1988

MARSHA A. YOUNG, County Clerk  
By \_\_\_\_\_

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

For Review By Interested Agencies and the Public in Accordance with the Mendocino County Environmental Review Guidelines and the California Environmental Quality Act, an analysis has been made of possible environmental impacts of the following project by the Local Agency Formation Staff:

APPLICANT:

FILE 88-3

Hopland Public Utility District

PROJECT TITLE & DESCRIPTION:

Gibson/Fetzer Annexation to Hopland Public Utility District

PROJECT LOCATION:

Parcel A - Gibson - south of the intersection of Feliz Creek Road on Mountain House Road. Parcel B - Fetzer - Eastside Road, south of intersection of Highway 175

FINDINGS WHICH SUPPORT A NEGATIVE DECLARATION: After conducting an Initial Study, the Lead Agency has determined that the project will not have a significant, substantial adverse effect on the environment for the following reasons:

1. The project will not have impacts which have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment or curtail the range of the environment.
2. The project will not have impacts which achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals. A short-term impact on the environment is one which occurs in a relatively brief, definite period of time while long-term impacts will endure well into the future.
3. The project will not have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable. A project may affect two or more separate resources where the impact of each resource is relatively small. If the effect of the total of those impacts on the environment is significant, and EIR must be prepared. This mandatory finding of significance does not apply to two or more separate projects where the impact of each is insignificant.
4. The environmental effects of a project will not cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly.

Attached hereto is a copy of the Initial Study documenting reasons to support the above findings. Also attached are any mitigation measures proposed to avoid potentially significant effects.

*[Signature]*  
LAFCO Staff

June 29, 1988  
DATE

*M.C.O.G.* *same as*  
Reviewed and Considered by  
the Lead Agency

*June 29, 1988*  
DATE